1.913 C3Set

United States Department of Agriculture EXTENSION SERVICE Washington, D. C.

U.S. Department of Agriculture
Revised June 1, 1955

SELF-CHECK ON PROJECT MEETINGS CONDUCTED BY EXTENSION WORKERS OR TRAINED LOCAL LEADERS

1.	Was adequate provision made for physical comfort: Air, light, heat, seating?
2.	Did the meeting begin on time? Was there an intermission, preferably with recreation? Was the group kept so long that they became tired?
3.	Was the business meeting promptly and effectively conducted?
4.	Was there a good summary of the subject-matter of the previous meeting, and a report of results achieved by members?
	Note: In local-leader training meetings this report is extremely important, and time should be allowed for a full though brief report on the accomplishments of each local group and on questions and problems encountered.
5.	What were the objectives of the meeting? Were they efficiently put over?
6.	Did the speaker maintain a pleasant relationship with the group? Was her voice pleasant? Clearly heard? Did she show originality and ingenuity inher presentation? Was her appearance neat?
7.	Was the speaker at ease with her subject-matter? Was subject-matter accurate? Simply stated? Clear to all?
8.	Was the illustrative material adequate? Effectively used?
9.	If a demonstration was given, was the technique good:
	A few simple things done well? All needed equipment and supplies at hand? Within the means of the group? Equipment and supplies so arranged that the group had a clear view of the process at all times? Table orderly at all times? Clearing away prompt?

	Each significant step in the process clearly and briefly explained? Did the audience see the product at each important step? Finished products up to the standard? Standards pointed out and emphasized? Sense of orderly progress throughout demonstration?
10.	Was discussion stimulated? And guided? Constructive and to the point? Was participation interested? General?
11.	Was application or adaptation made throughout to the local situation?
12.	Was the subject-matter material given out effectively explained, so that group would use it with interest and understanding?
13.	Were the main points of the meeting summarized, and their application reviewed?
14.	Did the group discuss what results to work for, and the things to be done before the next meeting? Reports expected at next meeting?
15.	Were time and plans for next meeting referred to in closing?
16.	If a mid-day meal was served, did it set an example of good plan- ning? Simple but attractive table setting? Efficient and sanitary dishwashing?